

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/616,984	07/11/2003	John F. Krumme	003534-106	2785
7590 11/04/2005 ·			EXAMINER	
•	NE, SWECKER & MA	PASSANITI, SEBASTIANO		
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA	22313-1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 3711	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
//	
•	
_	

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/616,984	KRUMME, JOHN F.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sebastiano Passaniti	3711	

Notice of Abandonment -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 29 April 2005. (a) A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_ period for reply (including a total extension of time of \_\_\_\_\_ month(s)) which expired on \_\_\_\_ (b) A proposed reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. A balance of \$\_\_\_ is due. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on \_\_\_\_\_ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: See Continuation Sheet

> Sebastiano Passaniti **Primary Examiner**

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment:

During an interview with applicant's attorney, Peter Skiff (Reg. No. 31.917) on October 26, 2005, it was noted that no amendment has been received in response to the last Office action, mailed 04/29/2005. Applicant's attorney indicated that the applicant would leave his options open to likely include the filing of a continuation-type application, and that no further response to the 04/29/2005 action would necessarily be filed. The examiner noted to the applicant's attorney during the 10/26/2005 interview that unless otherwise notified of the filing of a formal response by the applicant to the 04/29/2005 Office action, a Notice of Abandonment would follow at the expiration of the six-month statutory period. The six-month statutory period for response ended October 29, 2005 (Saturday). Thus, a response was due by COB on Monday, October 31, 2005. As no other response has been received, this application is now ABANDONED.

Sebastiano Passaniti Primary Examiner